



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,861	10/22/2001	Keiji Yurugi	Q66372	7705
23373	7590	03/29/2005	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PUTTLITZ, KARL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/982,861		YURUGI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Karl J. Puttlitz		1621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Karl J. Puttlitz. (3) _____

(2) Fang Liu. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 02/22/2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3,5-8 and 10-21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vansteenkiste and Bauer.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that the combination of references do not provide any motivation to provide a composition wherein at least 95% of the weight of the composition is a radical polymerization inhibitor and the claimed ester. Applicant's representative also argued, with regard to claim 2, that triethyl amine (a radical polymerization inhibitor) was either consumed or washed away in the disclosed reaction, and therefore, was not present in the final composition of Vansteenkiste. Applicant's representative also argued that the references do not disclose "storing" of the claimed composition, nor does the reference disclose a light-proof structure. Applicant's representative also argued that the reaction of Vansteenkiste comprises a reaction of an alcohol, and an anhydride, not the required ester, nor a light-proof structure. Applicant's representative also argued that the reference did not disclose the required oxygen content, nor a light-proof structure, during purification of the ester.